SHORT-PAPER ASSIGNMENT ECONOMICS 335, MONEY & BANKING SPRING 2009, MR. VAUGHAN

I have decided to assign one slightly longer paper on Friedman & Schwartz (F&S) instead of two short ones. Writing a satisfactory paper will earn 2, 100% quiz grades; an excellent paper will receive 4, 100% quiz grades. I changed the assignment to (1) trim your workload and (2) focus your extra-credit time on F&S. To reduce your workload further, I have made one of the two essays on your final exam related to this paper. (See below.)

Please read (or re-read) the following:

- Chapter 13, Friedman and Schwartz ("A Summing Up")
- Krugman, Paul. "Who was Milton Friedman?" *New York Review of Books*, vol. 54, no 2, February 15, 2007.

LINK: http://www.nybooks.com/articles/19857

- Rockoff, Hugh. "On Monetarist Economics and the Economics of a Monetary History. A Review
 of Milton Friedman and Anna Jacobson Schwartz, A Monetary History of the United States, 18671960." EH.Net Economic History Services, Jan 1 2000.
 - LINK: http://eh.net/bookreviews/library/rockoff
- Lucas, Robert E, Jr. "Review of Milton Friedman and Anna Schwartz's 'A Monetary History of the United States, 1867-1960'." *Journal of Monetary Economics* 34 (1994): 5-16.
- Sumner, Scott. "How Did Friedman and Schwartz Persuade Us?" Blog Entry *The Money Illusion*, February 2, 2009.

LINK: http://blogsandwikis.bentley.edu/themoneyillusion/?p=1013

Write a short paper (4-7 pages, typed double-spaced) critically evaluating F&S. To do this, use the above reading assignments to answer the following questions:

- 1. What are they key "take-aways" from the book? What specific historical events are most compelling in making the case for these take-aways?
- 2. Why are these take-aways important? Put another way, why did (does) this matter for macroeconomic and monetary theory?
- 3. What are the books strengths? ...weaknesses?

Your own insights are welcome (indeed, hoped for). This short paper is due at the final exam (Wednesday, May 6th at 1 pm). One of your two final exam essays will be:

What was the contribution of *A Monetary History of the United States*, and why was that contribution important?

Use your short paper to help compose a concise answer (600-700 words) supported by specific examples from the book. This essay should <u>not</u> be a word-for-word rehash of the paper. Indeed, notice the focus of the paper and essay is slightly different. (Moreover, you could write a solid essay without necessarily reading the extra material—this short paper is, after all, extra credit. But the additional reading will help crystallize your thoughts, thereby improving your essay.)